

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th ST., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

04 JUN 23 PH 1:48

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION espondent: Kalona Oil Company AGENCY-REGION VII wner/operator REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

ocation: 116 7th Street, Kalona, Iowa

n August 26, 2003, an authorized representative of the nited States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) nited States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) inducted an inspection to determine compliance with the il Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 1 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water ct (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that espondent had violated regulations implementing Section [1(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as ited on the attached Spill Prevention Control and ountermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, id Proposed Penalty Form (Form), which is hereby corporated by reference. corporated by reference.

ris proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the ithority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 1(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and 40 CFR §§ 1.13(b) and 22.18(b), published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40137 on ly 23, 1999. The parties enter into this Expedited ettlement in order to settle the civil violations described in e Form for a penalty of § 1650.00. This settlement is bject to the following terms and conditions:

A finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC gulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has plated the regulations as further described in the Form. espondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 d that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and spondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent es not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any jections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent usents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Spondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal nalties for making a false submission to the United ates Government, that the violations have been rrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in amount of \$1650.00 payable to the "Oil Spill ability Trust Fund," to:

egional Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, insas City, Kansas 66101". Respondent has noted on penalty payment check "CWA-07-2004-0053" and the sket number of this case. cket number of this case.

o Not Make Check out to Hearing Clerk)

DOCKET NO: CWA-07-2004-0053

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Chief, Emergency Plans and Response Branch,

Superfund Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): Frend

Title (print):

Signature

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer

INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990

Respondent	Docket Number: CWA
Kalena Oil Company	Date August 26, 2003
Facility Name	Date
	August 26, 2003
Address	Inspection Number
1167th Street (mailing address: P.O. Box 360)	
City:	Inspector's Name:
Kalona	Jeff Weatherford
State: Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:
Iow2 52247	Robert Jackson
Facility Contact:	Enforcement Contacts:
Fred Yoder	Bob Webber Phone Number: (913)551-7251 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)551-7647
GENERAL TOPICS: 112. (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceed	Ik Storage Facilities) 3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) is \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.)
	3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm	3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d)
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee	.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) neasure Plan \$1,000.0 er
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee No management approval of plan	3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) dis \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.)
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee No management approval of plan Plan not available for review	.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) heasure Plan \$1,000.0 er 300.0
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility)	.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) neasure Plan \$1,000.0 er 300.0
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if facil No evidence of three-year review of plan b No plan amendment(s) if the facility has he	3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) heasure Plan \$1,000.0 er 300.0 300.0 lity is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) 100.0
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if facil No evidence of three-year review of plan b No plan amendment(s) if the facility has he or maintenance which affects the facility's	3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) heasure Plan \$1,000.0 er 300.0 dity is manned at least eight (8) hours per day 100.0 by owner/operator 50.0 ad a change in: design, construction, operation, discharge potential 50.0
No Spill Prevention Control and Counterm Plan not certified by a professional enginee No management approval of plan Plan not available for review Plan not maintained on site (applies if facil No evidence of three-year review of plan b No plan amendment(s) if the facility has he or maintenance which affects the facility's Amendment(s) not certified by a profession	3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) ds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.) heasure Plan \$1,000.0 er 300.0 dity is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) 100.0 by owner/operator 50.0 ad a change in: design, construction, operation,

				
Clair	ning installation of appropriate containment/diversionary structures is impractical but:			
	No contingency plan\$100.00			
1	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials			
	Written Procedures and Inspection Records 112.7(e)(8)			
	Inspections required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility			
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not signed by facility supervisor			
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not made part of the plan			
X	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not maintained for three years			
Personnel Training and Spill Prevention Procedures 112.7(e)(10)				
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges			
	No training on the applicable laws, rules, and regulations			
	No designated person responsible for spill prevention			
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures 50.00			
FACILITY DRAINAGE, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(1)				
	Valves used to drain diked areas are not of manual, open-and-closed design (note: flapper-type valves should not be used)			
	Pumps or ejectors not manually activated when diked storage areas drained			
	Drainage from undiked areas not into ponds, lagoons, or catchment basins, or no diversion systems to return spills to the facility			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage			
	BULK STORAGE TANKS (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(2)			
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the material stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature			
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate			
\mathbf{x}	Materials of construction are not sufficiently impervious			
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity of the containment system			
	Walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas			

Page 2 of 4

Whe	en drainage from diked areas is to a storm drain, open water course, or lake or pond:
	Bypass valve not normally sealed closed
	Runoff rain water not inspected and/or will cause a harmful discharge as defined in 40 CFR 110 300.00
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision
	Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained
	Underground tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing 100.00
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion
	Aboveground tanks not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as visual, hydrostatic, and nondestructive methods, etc
	Outside of tank not frequently observed for signs of deterioration, leaks which might cause a spill, or accumulation of oil inside diked area
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system
	Records of inspections of aboveground tanks are not maintained
	Tanks are not "fail-safe" engineered:
	No audible or visual high liquid level alarm, or
	No high-level pump cutoff devices set to stop flow at a predetermined tank-content level, or 300.00
	No direct communications between tank gauger and pumping station, or
	No fast response system for determining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or direct vision gauges
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation
	Disposal facilities which discharge plant effluents directly to navigable waters are not monitored frequently to detect oil spills
	Visible oil leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 300.00
	Mobile or portable storage tanks are not positioned to prevent spilled oil from reaching navigable water, or are in area subject to flooding
\mathbf{X}	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks
X	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks
FA(CILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND IN-PLANT PROCESSES, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(3)
X	Buried piping not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection 100.00
	Corrective action not taken on buried piping when corrosion damage found
	Terminal connections at transfer points on not-in-service or standby pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin
0.6	Page 3 of A

	Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction
	Aboveground valves and pipelines are not inspected regularly
	Periodic pressure testing of the valves and pipelines is not conducted
	Vehicle traffic not warned verbally or by appropriate signs of aboveground piping
X	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and in-plant processes 50.00
FAC	ILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK, ONSHORE 112.7(e)(4)
Χ	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck
	There is no interlocked warning light, physical barrier system, or warning signs to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck
X	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack 50.00
SEC	URITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(9)
X	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow of tank's contents to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service. 50.00
	Facility lighting not commensurate with the type and location of facility to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security
	TOTAL \$ 1.650.00

Dage A of A

IN THE MATTER OF Kalona Oil Company, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2004-0053

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristina Kemp Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Fred Yoder Kalona Oil Company 116 7th Street P.O. Box 360 Kalona, Iowa 52247-0360

Copy by First Class Mail to:

US. Coast Guard Finance Center (OGR) 1430A Kristina Way

Chesapeake, VA 23326

Dated: 1024 054

Regional Hearing Clerk